

AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY OF NIGERIA (ASN)



ABAKALIKI 2008



42nd Annual Gonference

Agricultural Development in Nigeria: **Issues and Challenges**

Held at

Faculty of Law Auditorium, CAS Campus Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki, Nigeria

From Sunday 19th - 23rd October, 2008



TECHNICAL EFFICIENCY OF POULTRY PRODUCTION: THE CASE OF BROILER PRODUCERS anistauz + 161 - 290100e91 vorreso, the production throustanaine and inglitinaan OVU (Nevelop a model that is flexible and

use of resounce inputs can scriously can develop the data, Cobb-**Doug** jeopantize and hamper nice o<u>r **mit3** , A , N bns hobU , C : 3</u> in function was specified and can develor the data, Copb-Douga Department of Agric Economics and Extension, University of Uyo, P.M.B 1017 Uyo Akwa Ibom State Email: etimbobo@yahoo.com

Abstract

The technical efficiency of broiler farmers was investigated using the stochastic fontier production function, which incorporates a model for the technical inefficiency effects. Farm-level survey data were obtained from 70 farmers using well structured questionnaire. Variables included in the model for the inefficiency effects are extension contact, farming experience, age and educational attainment of the farmers. The parameters of the stochastic frontier production were estimated simultaneously with those in the model of inefficiency effects. Findings however suggest that none of the sampled broiler farms in the study area reached the frontier threshold. Results further reveal that output from broiler production increased by 18 percent using available technology. (214) boordilastil entermost

Keywords: Technical, Efficiency, Peri-Urban, Broiler

Introduction great to the second

Poultry is by far the largest livestock group and is estimated to be about 14,000 milion, consisting mainly chickens, ducks and turkeys (FAO,1999). Poultry production has evolved as one of the most efficient industries producing food (Musa and Olarinde, 2008). According to Ironkwe and Ekine (2008), more people especially in developing countries are consuming more eggs and poultry meat each year. The consumption of poultry meat between 1999 and 2000 had an average annual growth rate of 10% (Poultry International, 1996). The share of livestock credit going to poultry production reflects the importance of poultry in Nigeria. In 1999, out of N16,193500 granted to the livestock

coefficient of the inefficiency

respectively. The estimated

sector N11,668,000(72.05%) of livestock was disbursed to poultry.

Atheretady, Apakerike Atpenta ..

The consumption of animal protein in Nigeria, a vital food ingredient, critical to growth has been inadequate (CBN, 2004). Consumption of animal protein in Africa, Nigeria inclusive, remains one of the lowest in the world (Ojo and Evbuomwan, 1997). According to Ezike and Nwoye 2004) cited in (Anamayi et al 2008), the consumption level of 4.5g per caput, is below the FAO minimum level of 35g per caput. Poultry production is one of the quickest means of reducing the problem of protein inadequacy in our diets because poultry is characterized by rapid growth rate, high feed conversion efficiency and early maturity (Anyaehie and Irole 2008). Poultry production offers the greatest potential for bridging the protein deficiency gap existing in the country (Lorgyer et al 2008).

The concept of farm efficiency which is important issue today in most developing economies including Nigeria dates back to the Pioneering Works of Farrel (1957) who reported that technical efficiency is the ability to produce a given level of output with a minimum quantity of inputs. Poultry production particularly broiler enterprise uses resource inputs for its production. Recent and empirical studies by Udoh and Akintola (2001); Udoh (2005), Etim et al(2005) Udoh and Etim (2006); Etim and Udoh (2006;2007) suggest that farmers being primary managers of land need to manage problems arising from deteriorating natural resources and use available inputs as efficiently as possible to optimize agricultural production. Pretty (2002) highlights the need for farmers to produce food without damaging or destroying the environment and to make the best use of available natural and

istindost as ususmidadi grandomes.

CHRICAL EFFICIENCY OF POULTRY PRODUCTION: THE CASE OF BROILERS

for sustainable social resources use of resource inputs can seriously jeopardize and hamper food production and security (Nafziger, 1996; Udoh and Akintola (2001a), Udoh and Etim (2006). This study therefore analyzes the farm $+ \beta_2 \text{Ln}(X_2) = \beta_3 \text{Ln}(X_3 + \beta_4 \text{Ln}(X_4))$ level technical efficiency of broilers production in Akwa Ibom State.

Methodology Study Area, Sampling and Data **Collection Procedure:**

The study was conducted in Uyo Local Government Area, the capital city of Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. It has an estimated population of 309,573 (NPC, 2006). The area is located between latitude 5^017^I and 5^027^I North and longitude 7º27^I and 7º58^I East. Uyo covers and area approximately 35square kilometers with annual precipitation ranging from 2000-3000mm per annum. This rainfall regime received in most parts State encourages farming throughout the year (Etim and Ofem, 2005). Data used for this study are mainly primary and were obtained from farmers using structured questionnaire. Specifically,70 broiler were selected from 2 peri-urban zones of Uyo viz Nsukkara and Mbiabong areas.

The empirical model to measure the technical efficiency of broiler farmers utilized multiple regression based on stochastic frontier production function defined as:

$$Ti=f(X_i,) \exp(V_i)(i = 1, 2,....n_i)$$

.....(1) Where Y output of th farm

The

corresponding (M x Z) vector of inputs A vector of

unknown parameters to be estimated F() an appropriate function form

A symmetric V error component that accounts for

effects and exogenous shock

avallable osural and a one sided error component that measures technical

efficiency

production. Unsustainable and inefficient To develop a model that is flexible and can develop the data, Cobb-Douglas production function was specified and is expressed as:

$$Ln(Q) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Ln(X_1) + \beta_2 Ln(X_2) = \beta_3 Ln(X_3 + \beta_4 Ln(X_4) + \beta_5 Ln(X_5) + V_1 - U_1$$
 (2)

where Q is the value of output in Naira, X is the stocking density measured as the total number of birds stocked by the farmer, X2 is the labour employed in farm operation in mandays; X3 is the value of drugs in naira, X4 is the value of concentrates in naira, X5 is the cost of day old chicks in naira.

With $V/ \sim N(O, \sigma V^2)$ and $e^{UI} = \delta_0 + \delta_1 \text{ (Ext)} + \delta_2 \text{ (Exp)} + \delta_3$ (Age) + δ_4 (edu) + Zi

Where Ext is access to extension contact (dummy), Exp is family experience in years. Age is the age of the farmer (years). Edu is the level/of educational attainment of the farmers in years, Zi is an error term assumed to be randomly and normally distributed.

Results and Discussion Maximum likelihood (ML) Estimated and Inefficiency Estimates

The model specified is estimated by maximum likelihood method using FRONTIER 4.1 software. Results on table 1 show ML estimates and inefficiency determinants and sigma square (0.4091) is statistically significant and different from zero at $\sigma = 0.01$. Result indicates goodness of fit and the correctness of the specified distribution assumption of the error term. The variance defined as λ is estimated to be as high as 71.50 percent implying that the production functions are relatively dominant sources of random error. Thus the existence of technical inefficiency among broiler account for about 71.50 of the variation in the output level of the birds raised. Labour appears to be the most important resource in production with an elasticity of 0.4124 followed by feed and stocking density with elasticity of 0.4110 and 0.3112 respectively. The estimated coefficient inefficiency of the

determinants reveal that except for age and education, the coefficient of other inefficiency variables were highly significant. Findings are consistent with Ram (1980), Parikh et al (1996), Udoh (2005), Etim and Udoh (2006), Etim and Udoh (2007).

Resource Use Efficiency Distribution

An important feature of the stochastic production frontier is its ability to estimate individual. farm-specific technical. allocative and economic efficiencies. Table 2 shows farm specific resource use efficiency indices.

Results on table 2 show considerable variation of efficiency index across the broiler farms. The fact that the technical efficiencies of all sampled broiler farms are less than one is an indication that none of the farmers reached the frontier threshold.

Conclusion

The study measured the farm level technical efficiency and its determinants using stochastic parametric estimation techniques. The farm specific technical efficiency distribution of the sampled pumpkin farms shows a mean technical efficiency of 0.82 indicating production level of broilers could still be increased by 18 percent using available technology

Table 1: M I Estimates and Inefficiency Function

Variable	Coefficient	Asymptotic value 2,612***	
Production Function	2.4210		
Constant term (β _I)	0.3112	2.611***	
Labour (β ₂)	0.4124	2.154**	
Value of drugs (β ₃)	0.3108	2.8011***	
Value of concentrate (β ₄)	0.4110	1.8140*	
Cost of day old chicks	0.6510	1.2013	
Inefficiency Function	Par di		
Intercept (δ_0)	0.3102	1.4004	
Ext (δ_I)	0.4203	2.1140**	
Exp (δ_2)	0.5024	1.7039*	
Age (δ_3)	0.307	1.4010	
Edu (δ ₄)	0.2189	1.1022	
Diagnostic Statistics		and the second s	
Sigma (δ_5^2)	0.4091	20.1142***	
Gamma (λ)	0.7150	2.2242**	
Ln (likelihood)	14.0012	and the second of the second	
LR test	6.9740		
Quasi function	1.6144	al large series in part 15.	
Number of observation	70		

Source: Computed from frontier4.1 result

Note: All explanatory variables are in natural logarithms. A negative sign of the parameters in the inefficiency function implies that the associated variables have a positive effect on technical efficiency and a positive sign indicates the reverse is true. Asterisk indicate significance***1%, **5%, *10%.

Table 2: Farm Specific Technical Efficiency

Efficiency Class	Frequency	Percentage	
<0.40		and the property of the	
0.01 - 0.12	4	5.71	
0.13 - 0.39	19	27.14	
0.40 - 0.66	30	42.86	
0.67 - 0.93	14	20	
>0.94	With the Art 3 contracts. Mile	4.29	

Proc. 42nd Annual Conf., Agricultural Society of Nigeria (ASN). October 19th –23rd 2008 Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki, Nigeria

isomrout and test that the Donald wall-will

-5706[[9hc	>0.91	2 46 16	ENUMBER OF	19/20 to 10/20	offered and a sense of
Calify Tights	Total	ong his	111 Jew 518	70	100
Mean Efficiency =	0.82;	19(010)	Minimum = 0	0.01 (Nov. Installand	softeast English and C
Maximum	West = /	0.94	Lierizonti .	Flobbl (d99)	to 19 military respect ones

Notes All explanatory vacables are in natural logarithms, A proative pign of the

positive astect on tophnical efficiency and a positive sign indicates the raverse in true

References

Anamayi, S. E.,R. M. Anamayi and J. M. Usman
(2008) Analysis of some Factors
Responsible for Low Animal Protein
intake in Ibadan. Proceedings of the
33rd Annual Conference of Nigerian
Society for Animal Production (NSAP)
held at Al Olabisi Onabanjo
University, Ogun State.

Anyaehie, A. A and O. U. Irole (2008): Effect of Enzyme Supplementation of Dried Brewer's Grain and Palm Kernel Cake Diets on Broilers Performance and

- 4 OVER RESOLUTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE

Carcass Measurement. Proceedings of the 33rd Annual Conference of Nigerian Society for Animal Production (NSAP) held at Al Olabisi Onabanjo University, Ogun State.

ganolettent has seconing at the color

Table 25, Farm Specific Technical Efficiency

Effectionary Class

reduction Function

intake in Ibadan. Proceedings of the 33rd Annual Conference of Nigerian Society for Animal Production (NSAP) Society for Animal Production (NSAP) Challenges and Prospects in Lagos Statepp1-3ss